

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/670,975	(	09/27/2000	Charles E. May	00-140	6488
24319	7590	04/10/2002			-
LSI Logic		on	EXAMINER		
1551 McCar M/S: D-106	Patent De	epartment	FORD, JOHN K		
Milpitas, CA 95035			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3743	
				DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	09/670975	May etal.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		FORD	3743				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE M - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the main digent part of the provided period for the provided period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the main digent part of the provided period for reply will.	J.  1.136 (a) In no event, however, may a reply be tireply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a)☐	_	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	оя of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)1	Claims <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11)							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachment	ric\						
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
16) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (F10-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	19) Notice of Informa	I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tr TO-326 (Rev		Action Summary TO-3.	(Krav. 01-91) Part of Paper No. 3				

Application/Control Number: 09/670,975

Art Unit: 3743

All pertinent prior art known to applicant is required in response to this action. LSI corporation is a major manufacture of these systems.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a method and apparatus for temperature control, classified in class 165, subclass 205.
- II. Claims 13-20, drawn to a chuck with a heat sink, classified in class 165, subclass80.4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination claims (e.g. 1 and 9) lack any of the perfecting details of the subcombination claims (e.g. the high low thermal conduction zones of claims 13 or the materials recited in claims 14-17). The subcombination has separate utility such as by itself without any of the temperature feedback control systems or method steps recited in claims 1 and 9.

In the event Group I is elected, further restriction is required, as set forth immediately below.

Application/Control Number: 09/670,975

Art Unit: 3743

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

first species of control wherein "media flow rate" is controlled,
second species of control wherein "media temperature" is controlled,
third species of control wherein "process energy" is controlled,
fourth species of control wherein "media flow rate and media temperature" are controlled,
fifth species of control wherein "media flow rate and process energy" are controlled,
sixth species of control wherein "media temperature and process energy" are controlled,
seventh species of control wherein "media flow rate, media temperature and process
energy" are controlled.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 9 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 09/670,975

Art Unit: 3743

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Whichever of the above seven species is elected, applicant is required to identify a precise portion of the specification wherein details of that particular species of control is disclosed.

The specification is replete with so many alternatives that applicant is put on notice that further elections may be required to reduce the Examiner's search burden.

In the event Group II is elected, Applicant is required to elect a particular ceramic material and particular filler.

. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

first species of ceramic of aluminum oxide
second species of ceramic of silicon oxide
third species of ceramic of aluminum oxide and silicon oxide,
first species of filler of aluminum nitride
second species of filler of silicon carbide

third species of filler of beryllium oxide

fourth species of filler of diamond and

an in-determinant number of additional filler species comprising mixtures of the above four filler species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 13 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3743

In the event <u>one</u> of the "in-determinant number of filler species" is elected, identification of the <u>exact composition</u> of that filler is required and must be supported by the disclosure as originally filed.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Ford at telephone number (703) 308-2636.

John K. Ford Primary Examine

J. Ford

April 2, 2002